ಉಪಾಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟಂತೆ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಒಂದು ನೋಟೀಸ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅದನ್ನು ಸೋಮವಾರ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಈಗ ಅದರಮೇಲೆ ಮಾತನಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಹೊರಡುವುದು ಸರಿಯಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಾಟಾಳ್ ನಾಗರಾಜ್.—ಇದೇ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮಹಾಜನ್ ವರದಿಯನ್ನು ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣವಾಗಿ ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೆಂದು ತೀರ್ಮಾನವಾಯಿತು. ಆದರೆ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಬೊಂಬಾಯಿಗೆ ಹೋದಾಗ ಗಡಿ ವಿವಾದದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ತಮ್ಮ ಶಕ್ತಿ ಮೀರಿ ಶ್ರಮಿಸುವುದಾಗಿಯೂ ಅದನ್ನು ಹೇಗೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇನೆ ಯಾವಾಗ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇನೆ ಎನ್ನುವುದನ್ನು ಈಗ ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲವೆಂಬುದಾಗಿಯೂ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಮಹಾಜನ್ ವರದಿ ಏನಾಯಿತು ಎನ್ನುವುದನ್ನು ಅವರು ತಿಳಿಸುತ್ತಾರೆಯೇ ಸ್ವಾಮಿ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಕೆ. ರಾಮಯ್ಯ ಶೆಟ್ಟಿ (ಚಿಕ್ಕನಾಯಕನಹಳ್ಳಿ).—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸಭೆಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರಿಗೆ ಮೂರು ದಿವಸಗಳ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಮದ್ರಾಸಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಆಪರೇಷನ್ ಆಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಓದಿದೆ. ಅವರ ಆರೋಗ್ಯ ಹೇಗಿದೆ ಎನ್ನುವುದು ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಆ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ಸಭೆಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ (ಮುಖ್ಯಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು).—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಶೆಟ್ಟರು ನನ್ನ ಹತ್ತಿರ ಬಂದಿದ್ದರೆ ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದೆ. ನಮ್ಮ ಸಭೆಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರಿಗೆ ಆಪರೇಶನ್ ಆಗಿದೆ, ಅವರು ಗುಣಮುಖರಾಗುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎನ್ನುವುದು ಸಂತೋಷದ ಮಾತು.

ಮಹಾಜನ್ ವರದಿ ಈಗ ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಮುಂದೆ ಇದೆ. ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಆ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಪಾರ್ಲಮೆಂಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಮಸೂದೆಯನ್ನ ತರಬೇಕಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಅವರು ಹಾಗೆ ಮಾಡಲೊಲ್ಲದೆ ಎಲ್ಲ ಪಕ್ಷಗಳ ಮುಖಂಡರ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಮುಂದೂಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಇದನ್ನು ಆದಷ್ಟು ಬೇಗ ಫೈನಲೈಸ್ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ನಾವು ಕೇಂದ್ರಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾಗದವನ್ನು ಬರೆದಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಮಹಾಜನ್ ವರದಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಸಿಫಾರಸುಗಳ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಲೋಕಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಮಸೂದೆಯನ್ನು ತರಬೇಕಾದ್ದು ಅವರ ಕರ್ತವ್ಯ. ಅದನ್ನು ಅವರು ಮಾಡದೆ ಮುಖಂಡರನ್ನು ಸೇರಿಸಿ ಮಾತನಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿ ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಲ ಕಳೆಯುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಇರುವ ವಿಚಾರ ಇಷ್ಟು. ನಾವು ಮಾಡುವ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನವನ್ನು ನಾವು ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—Hon'ble Food Minister will now reply.

STATEMENT OF THE CHIEF MINISTER ABOUT HIS DUAL ROLE

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಮುಂದಿನ ವಿಷಯಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋಗುವ ಮೊದಲು ನಾನು ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಯಾಗಿ ಮುಂದುವರಿಯುತ್ತಿರುವ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಮಾತನ್ನು ಹೇಳಲು ಅಪೇಕ್ಷಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ. ನಿನ್ನೆಯ ದಿವಸ ನಾನು ಬೊಂಬಾಯಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಏನೋ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಬೇರೆ ಬೇರೆ ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇನೆ ಎಂದು ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಬಂದಿದೆ ಎಂಬುದಾಗಿ ಅದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಅನೇಕ ಜನ ಮಾತನಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅವರೆಲ್ಲ ಏನು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎನುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಉತ್ತರವನ್ನು ಕೊಡವುದಕ್ಕೆ ನಾನು ಹೋಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ನಾಲ್ಕೈದು ದಿವಸಗಳ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಒಂದು ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ವಿರೋಧಪಕ್ಷದ ನಾಯಕರಾದ ಶಿವಪ್ಪನವರು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಬಂದು ನನಗೆ ತೋರಿಸಿ ಅದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನಾನು ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿಯಿಂದ ಏನು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆಂದು ಕೇಳಿದರು. ನಾನು ಬೊಂಬಾಯಿಗೆ ಹೋಗಿದ್ದ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ನಾನಾ ರೀತಿಯಿಂದ ಈ ವಿಚಾರದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕೇಳಿದರು. ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿ ಪದವಿಯನ್ನು ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಹೋಗುತ್ತೇನೆ, ಆದರೆ ಯಾವಾಗ ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಹೋಗುತ್ತೇನೆಂಬುದು ಇನ್ನೂ ತೀರ್ಮಾನವಾಗಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದೆ. ಈ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಾನು ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟವಾಗಿ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇನೆ, ಅನುಮಾನ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗಿಲ್ಲ. ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಬರೆದಿರುವುದನ್ನು ನೋಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಕೇಳಿದರೆ ಏನು ಹೇಳಲಿ.....

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಚನ್ನಯ್ಯ (ಕೃಷ್ಣರಾಜ).—ಒಂದು ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾತ್ರ ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ ಸ್ವಾಮಿ. ನಾವು ಎಂಟು ಹತ್ತು ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳನ್ನು ಓದಿ ನೋಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು ತಮ್ಮ ಮುಂದೆಯೂ ಇಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಬಂದಿರುವುದೆಲ್ಲ ನಿಜ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ದುಗ್ಗಪ್ಪ (ಧರ್ಮಸಾಗರ)—ಸೋಮವಾರದ ದಿವಸ ಇದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಚರ್ಚೆಯಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಈವಾಗಲೇ ಆ ವಿಷಯದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಮಾತನಾಡಲು ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಟ್ಟರೆ ಹೇಗೆ ಆಗದೇಕು? ಪ್ರಿವಿಲೇಜ್ ಮೋಷನ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟಾಗ, ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಈಗ ಮಾತನಾಡಲು ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಟ್ಟರೆ, ಸೋಮವಾರ ಅದನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—I permitted the hon'ble Chief Minister to say something.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I think the question will again come up on Monday; is it not?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಚನ್ನಯ್ಯ.—ತಾವು ಸೋಮವಾರ ಈ ವಿಚಾರ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಾಗಿ ಹೇಳಿರುವಾಗ ಈಗೇಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು.

Sri DIGAMBARA RAO B. KALMANKAR (Aland).—Sir, I rise to a Point of Order. Regarding the Statement made by the Hon'ble Chief Minister at Bombay about his dual role; a Privilege Motion has been moved and it is before this House. Unless that Privilege Motion is taken up, the Hon'ble Chief Minister cannot say anything regarding that matter, because the important point involved in that Motion is that at Bombay he has stated that he has not yet considered about it, which fact has been published in all the Papers. Monday has been fixed to take up this issue.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Perhaps, the Chief Minister may not be available on Monday, and we have no objection if the Chair can advance it today.

Sri DIGAMBARA RAO B. KALMANKAR.—It may be taken up and allowed to be discussed at length. But, making a statement before that matter is taken up by this House, is not in order.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—There is no Point of Order. The Hon'ble Minister asked my permission to say something about it, and he was allowed by me to say.

Sri DIGAMBARA RAO B. KALMANKAR.—If he is allowed to say something before the Privilege Motion is taken up, it will take away the merit of the Privilege Motion.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—On the previous day also I stated that the Privilege question will be Taken up on Monday.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—Sir, you have stated that the Privilege Motion, in connection with the statement made by the Chief Minister at Bombay and his statement on the Floor of this House, will be taken up on Monday. Therefore, anything connected with that statement should be taken up only on Monday. But, you have permitted the Chief Minister to make a statement today. I want to know whether the Chief Minister will be present on Monday or not? If he will not be present on Monday, then the Privilege Motion can be advanced for today; or if he will be present on Monday, it can be taken up on Monday. But before it is taken up, his making a statement is not correct.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—If the hon'ble Members are not prepared to hear him.....

Sri M. NAGAPPA (Raichur).—The Hon'ble Speaker can only permit him under Rule 293; it says:

> "A member may, with the permission of the Speaker, make a personal explanation although there is no question before the Assembly, but in this case no debatable matter may be brought forward, and no debate shall arise."

The question of Privilege is before the House. So, the Hon'ble Chief Minister may not be permitted to explain anything; he should not be allowed to give any personal explanation at this stage. He is legally precluded under Rule 293.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Chief Minister sought the permission of the Chair to say something and he was allowed.

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—If the hon'ble Members are not in a mood to hear me and if they insist that it should be taken up only on the day fixed, I will not be here on Monday; it may be taken up on Thursday.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—The Hon'ble Speaker has fixed Monday to take up the Privilege Motion. Now, the Chief Minister says that he will not be present here on Monday and that it should be taken up on Thursday. By this, a new situation has arisen. I want to know whether the House has to adjust according to the programme of the Chief Minister or is the Chief Minister bound by the decision of the House. The House is supreme. The Chief Minister is a servant of this House. It is for the Chair to direct the Chief Minister to be present here on that day. If the Chief Minister were to say that he cannot be present on Monday and that the Motion be taken up on Thursday, it is going back again to the same argument that the Chief Minister cannot devote his attention to the affairs of the State as very well exhibited by his statement. I earnestly request the Chair to direct the Chief Minister to be here on Monday, because you have already decided that the Privilege Motion will be taken up no Monday. The Chief Minister should obey the House.

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I am always ready to obey the House, and I am going to obey the mandate of the Speaker and the Deputy Speaker. It is for the House to decide.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—As per the present programme, 16th will be the last working day. I do not know the intention of the Chief Minister. We have been here for such a long time, we have got our other engagements and we have already programmed in that way. If this Privilege Motion has to advance to Thursday only to suit the convenience of the Chief Minister, I have no objection. The other issues may be postponed and this may be advanced and taken up today, because it is all important from the stand-point of the State. I want to know how long we are going to continue the sittings of the House. Apart from substantiating what the Leader of the Opposition has stated, I want to bring to the notice of this House that it is the duty of every one of us to adjust to the timings of the House. It is not correct to ask for

its advancement on personal grounds. Please clarify the position so that there may be no confusion about it. Nothing is certain. Let the press need not publish anything about this, in order to save their own interest, because nothing is certain.

9-30 A.M.

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—16th is the last day and let it be taken on that day and we will close on that day.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—I hope the Hon'ble Members agree.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Then Sir, there were certain interpellations of the Leader of the House that were held-over. They have not yet been listed so far. They may also be listed, so that they may come upon that day.

Member's Representation

†Sri S. SIVAPPA.—There is another issue before the House Sir. The Hon'ble Chief Minister has issued some notices to Ministers, Ex-Ministers and Presidents and so on. Now, as the Chief Minister, he has written letter. To fulfil the object of the letter, *i.e.*, to assess the value of assets of a particular person as to what he was before 1947 and what he is to day, that is the essence of that letter. To achieve this objective, I think, a more letter by the Chief Minister will have absolutely no value. To achieve that end, if the Government is sincere, if the Hon'ble Chief Minister is sincere, I request him to let me know, under what rule of law or provisions of Constitution, he has issued that letter. The very validity of that letter is now under question. I want to know, through you Sir, and request the Chief Minister to clarify under what provisions of law that letter has been issued to various persons and what is the legal status of that letter? If his intention is to give a real statutory status to that letter, even then, I request the Hon'ble Speaker, to direct the Chief Minister and allow this House to move a special resolution to appoint a Special Tribunal or an Enquiry Commission, to enquire into the contents of this letter. If the letter is found to be purposeful, immediately you have to constitute a Commission of Enquiry and this issue must be referred to that Commission. As it is the letter has no value and nothing will come out of it. I again request you to evolve a special procedure to assess the assets of Minister, Ex-Ministers and various other persons. This issue has arisen out of the statement made by the Chief Minister that he is going to take action to assess the assets in this House. Anyhow a formula has to be evolved, as to whether the Chief Minister himself is going to constitute a Commission of Enquiry or the House is competent to do it. I therefore request you Sir, to evolve a special procedure to appoint a special Tribunal or Commission of Enquiry for this purpose.